### BEHIND THE SCIENCE









1010

### Introduction

The Amy Sobel Foundation hopes to save lives by promoting awareness and supporting innovative research to prevent IBD-related cancer. This document provides more detail about the science behind our work by answering three questions:

- **1** What is the relationship between IBD and cancer?
- **2** Why is detecting cancer so difficult in IBD?
- **3** How can we do better with a new approach?

# **IBD & CANCER**

**IBD Basics** 

**DNA and Accelerated Aging** 

**Cancer Risk and Outcomes** 



In inflammatory bowel disease, the immune system becomes dysregulated, causing inflammation and tissue damage. The main types of IBD are Crohn's and ulcerative colitis.

### **IBD** basics

#### **Crohn's Disease**

Crohn's disease can occur in any location from the mouth to the anus. Diseased tissue is often interspersed with normal tissue.<sup>1</sup>



#### **Ulcerative Colitis**

Ulcerative colitis affects the colon and extends continuously. For some patients it is limited to the rectum; for others it extends up the left side or across the entire colon.<sup>2</sup>



#### **Fast Facts**

>7 million patients worldwide
1.6 million U.S. patients
Global incidence is on the rise<sup>3</sup>

#### Living with IBD

Stomach Pain Bleeding Diarrhea Urgency Fatigue Anemia Fever Chills Obstruction Perforation Infertility Cancer

### **Tales from the crypt**

The colon is lined by millions of U-shaped glands, called crypts. Crypt cells secrete mucus and absorb water. They are the cells under attack by the immune system in IBD. They are also where colon cancer starts.

 $\sim$ 3 inches

Crypts normally expand slowly (once every 27 years). In IBD colons they expand 60 times faster to repair damaged tissue. In a healthy colon, virtually all of the **billions** of crypt cells die every 2-5 days and are replaced.

**Openings** 

Over a human life, these cells divide **trillions** of times. Each division is an opportunity for cancer to develop.<sup>4-6</sup>

Crypt Bases

### Accelerated aging

IBD increases cancer risk by accelerating the aging of the colon. This acceleration is directly related to inflammation: how long, how extensive, and how severe.<sup>7-10</sup>



DNA damage is called **mutation**. Some mutations originate at conception, but many more accumulate naturally as we age. This is why older people have more cancer. In IBD, mutation accelerates in the colon.

> By the age of 40, a person with colitis may have a colon that is "biologically" older than a person without colitis at age 80<sup>11,12</sup>

### **Cancer risk in IBD**

IBD patients have **2- to 4times greater risk** of cancer than healthy people<sup>13-17</sup>

**1 in 8** IBD patients eventually develop colon cancer<sup>14-20</sup>

The **cancer risk grows over time** and varies across patients based on multiple factors<sup>20, 21</sup>

We have known about cancer risk in IBD for 100 years and began special screening programs 50 years ago<sup>22-24</sup>



### Colon cancer survival

#### Early detection is critical for survival in colon cancer



#### **Early Detection**



#### **Late Detection**

# CANCER DETECTION

**Surveillance Tools** 

Importance of Early Detection

**Distinct Features of IBD-Related Cancer** 

**Challenges of Current Surveillance** 

### **Cancer surveillance**

Colon cancer surveillance consists of a search for abnormalities during a colonoscopy and subsequent pathology review of biopsy samples.<sup>26, 27</sup>

An **endoscope** transmits video to visually detect polyps or dysplasia

A claw on the endoscope snips **biopsies** (bits of tissue) The biopsies are sliced very thin for review by a **pathologist** under a **microscope** 







Colonoscopic surveillance and management has resulted in meaningful improvements in patient safety in IBD.<sup>28-33</sup>

But surveillance for IBD patients is different than screening for average risk patients – and less effective.<sup>34-41</sup>

Up to 50% of IBD-related cancer is missed during cancer surveillance

### IBD cancer is different<sup>17,42-45</sup>

**Typical colon cancer** arises from **polyps**, piles of abnormal cells that grow away from the colon wall, becoming progressively larger, often over 10+ years, before transforming into cancer.<sup>46</sup>

**IBD-related cancer** begins with a kind of tissue damage called **dysplasia** that spreads along the colon wall or invades down rather than popping up. It also develops into cancer faster, in 1-3 years.<sup>32, 47-49</sup>

Younger Patients

More Difficult to Detect

More Aggressive

More Resistant to Treatment

### 70% of IBD dysplasia is flat

Though most dysplasia does not develop into full cancer, flat dysplasia is much more likely to progress<sup>32, 50-52</sup>





The IBD cancer below was **missed**; biopsies (red) were taken outside the margins of the growth (white). This **sampling error** meant a delayed diagnosis for the patient. A biopsy only covers **1/20<sup>th</sup> of 1%** of the colon.<sup>53</sup>



### Grades of dysplasia

Pathologists classify dysplasia into categories, called grades, to indicate the degree of abnormality.<sup>54, 55</sup>



A problem in IBD cancer surveillance is that **active inflammation** can render biopsies **unreadable**. In other words, the patients at **highest risk**, those with persistently active disease, are **more likely** to have pre-cancer that is **difficult to identify**.<sup>56-58</sup>

### Dysplasia? Maybe?

### Grading dysplasia is subjective and experts can disagree.<sup>58-60</sup>

The dysplasia samples below were reviewed independently by 20 expert pathologists. The pie charts highlight the **interobserver variability**.<sup>61</sup>

Failure to correctly identify dysplasia can be an important issue. Even a single finding of "indefinite" dysplasia significantly changes a patient's risk.<sup>31, 62-64</sup>



40% of pre-cancer in IBD takes on a non-conventional appearance.<sup>65</sup>

Non-conventional dysplasia is often **misclassified**.

It is also **more likely to progress** to a full cancer than conventional dysplasia.<sup>66-69</sup>

### Managing dysplasia

**There is no universally agreed upon framework for managing dysplasia.** Strategies rely on factors such as resectability, grade, extent/location, prior history, size, flatness, and detection via targeted vs random biopsy.<sup>70-77</sup>



### Colectomy

The decision to undergo colectomy is often difficult. Surgical removal of the colon is a major procedure with major possible complications. It also means a temporary ostomy bag if not a permanent one.

In surveys of IBD patients about what level of cancer risk they think warrants a colectomy, responses cluster around **50%**.

Patients are willing to take a coin toss on colon cancer to avoid this surgery.

In the absence of individualized risk information, patients are left to choose between a **colectomy they very likely don't need** and "some" risk of a **cancer they could otherwise avoid**.<sup>78-81</sup>



### Which patients get cancer?

We don't know. But most do not. And our system is tailored toward the average.

As a result:

We spend **too much** time, energy, and money on heightened surveillance of patients at no greater risk than the general public.

We do **too little** escalated monitoring and communicating with patients who are at very high cancer risk.<sup>82-85</sup>

# A NEW APPROACH

**Molecular Testing** 

**Patient Impact** 

**Our All-Star Team** 

**The Study and Next Step** 

### Molecular testing

Today, a technological revolution is underway.

Using cutting edge technology, we can identify cancer-related DNA changes in real time at molecular resolution.

Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) will be a powerful new tool to add to our cancer surveillance arsenal in IBD.<sup>86</sup> Molecular testing can be smoothly integrated into existing IBD workflows



Pathologists routinely extract **DNA** from other biopsy types and send it out for sequencing

**Costs** are rapidly **decreasing** and clinical **usage** is **growing** 

### **Field effect**

Cancer-related molecular changes in IBD are typically widespread, a phenomenon called the field effect or field cancerization.

The size of pre-cancerous fields helps us improve early detection by reducing sampling error. We don't need to find the exact cancer cells. We can rely on detecting broader fields of cancer-primed cells.<sup>87-97</sup>

The field effect also opens the window for early detection. Molecular changes invisible to the naked eye or even under a microscope can be detected up to 8 years ahead of cancer.<sup>98</sup> .... Molecular Change ...

·Dysplasia ·

Cancer

### **Patient benefit**

To be useful, a test must improve patient outcomes. There is a clear, unmet clinical need in IBD.

We believe a molecular test to predict cancer will save the lives of IBD patients.

#### **Better Informed Colectomy Decisions**

Some patients undergo colectomy for IBD symptom relief, but many do not require it. Colectomy for cancer prevention is sensible only in extremely high-risk patients. A highly predictive test for future cancer would be an important new tool to aid decision-making.

#### Early Surveillance for Patients at Risk of Early Cancer

Current guidelines call for cancer surveillance to begin 8-10 years after IBD diagnosis, but 17-28% of IBD cancer cases occur earlier. Molecular testing at the time of IBD diagnosis would allow for higher risk patients to begin surveillance earlier.<sup>17, 99</sup>

#### Heightened Surveillance for High-Risk Patients

Some patients develop cancer after one finding of indefinite dysplasia. Others never progress despite multiple findings of low grade dysplasia. Differences not visible to the naked eye or the microscope are visible at the molecular level, allowing us to increase surveillance for those with the highest risk.

#### **Reduced Surveillance for Low-Risk Patients**

IBD patients often suffer from anxiety and depression. Cancer risk and regular cancer surveillance is an unnecessary added stressor for many patients. A test that can predict freedom from cancer with very high accuracy might allow for changes to surveillance schedules or at least provide peace of mind. To develop a test, we're working with **Kit Curtius** and Trevor Graham, two of the world's leading experts on cancer evolution and on IBD-related cancer.<sup>9, 32, 88-93, 99-103</sup>

We are building on their groundbreaking work to trace the **evolution of molecular changes** that occur to cellular DNA as IBD patients progress towards cancer.

### **All-Stars**

Evolution of Premalignant Disease

Kit Curtius, Nicholas A Wright, and Trevor A. Graham Centre for Turnor Biology, Barts Cancer Institute, ECI M 6BQ London, United Kingdom

#### Cancers

Cold Spring Harbor

CSH

Predicting Colorectal Cancer Occurrence in IBD

Mehmet Yalchin 3.2.\*, Ann-Marie Baker 20, Trevor A. Graham 20 and Ailsa Hart 3.\* spital, Watford R.d., Harrow HA1 3UJ, UK Inflammatory Bow el Disease Department, St. Mark's Hospital, Waterd K.d., Lerrow HAI 30J, U.B. Conte For Genomics and Computational Biology, Barts Cancer Institute, Barts and the London Sch Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, Oxaterbouxe Sag, London ECIM 600 Am. ChakerBiemul.acuik (A-M.B.): EgrahamBigmul.acuk (TA.G.)

mary: Patients with inflammatory bowel disease are at an increased risk o

Sumple Summary. I surena with himanonatory bowet uneare are a structure wave true of colorectal cancer, and so are enrolled in a surveillance colonoscopy programme aimed at d sonnesson conset, and no are encoursed for a surveigance concassory programme arrive at treating any signal of early cancer. This review describes the current known risk factors a

From Colitis to Cancer: An

Maths and Biology

Ibrahim Al Bakir 1.2\*, Kit Curtius 1 and Trevor A. Grahan

**Evolutionary Trajectory That Merges** 

Patients with inflammatory bowel disease have an increased risk of developing colorectal

cancer and this risk is related to disease duration, extent, and cumulative inflammation

burden. Carcinogenesis follows the principles of Darwinian evolution, whereby somatic

cells acquire genomic alterations that provide them with a survival and/or growth

advantage. Colitis represents a unique situation whereby routine surveillance endoscopy

provides a serendipitous opportunity to observe somatic evolution over space and

time in vivo in a human organ. Moreover, somatic evolution in colitis is evolution in

the 'fast lane': the repeated rounds of inflammation and mucosal healing that are

characteristic of the disease accelerate the evolutionary process and likely provide a

strong selective pressure for inflammation-adapted phenotypic traits. In this review, we

discuss the evolutionary dynamics of pre-neoplastic clones in colitis with a focus on

n and Cancer Laboratory, Centre for Turnour Biology, Barts Cancer Institute, London, Unite

frontiers this increase potential ne

Abstract F oping cold detecting d scopic of our unders and man CA-CRC ing of CA that has lar biom 1. Int

Low-Grade Dysplasia in Ulcerative Colitis: Risk Factors for Developing High-Grade Dysplasia or Colorectal

Chang ho Ryan Choi, MBBS, Moc<sup>1,2</sup>, Ana Ignjatnvic-Wilson, BMBCh, MD, MRCP<sup>3</sup>, Alan Askari, MBChB, MRCS<sup>4</sup>, Gui Han Lee, MBBS, MRCS<sup>4</sup>, Janindra Warusavitarne, BMed, PhD, FRACS<sup>4</sup>, Morgan Mooghen, MBChB, MD, FRCPath<sup>4</sup>, Swann Thomas Globon, MBCS, MD, FRCP<sup>4</sup>, Brian P. Saunders, MBBS, MD, FRCP<sup>4</sup>, Matthew D, Rutler, MBBS, MD, FRCP<sup>4</sup>, Jour A. Graham, PhD<sup>2+</sup> and Alisa L. Hart, BMBCh, PhD, FRCP<sup>4</sup>, J

The aim of this study was to identify risk factors associated with development of high-grade dysplasia (HGD) or colorectal cancer (CRC) in ulcerative colitis (UC) patients diagnosed with low-grade

ased lifetime risk of CRC), and colitis-associate

stinct from sporadic CRC

ed the evolutionary histor

equencing

s performed on

carcinoma, adjacent

es were reconstructed

reveal the temporal

rosatellite stable with

single nucleotide

higher than S-CRC

elevated ageing-

dysplastic mur

median 47 SNAs),

#### Evolutionary history of human colitis-associated colorectal cancer

Ann-Marie Baker,<sup>1</sup> William Cross,<sup>1</sup> Kit Curtius,<sup>1</sup> Ibrahim Al Bakir,<sup>1,2</sup> Ann-warie Baker, William Cross, Kit Curtus, Ioranni Al Daki, Chang-Ho Ryan Choi<sup>1,2</sup> Hayley Louise Davis,<sup>3</sup> Daniel Temko,<sup>14,5</sup> Sujata Biswas,<sup>3</sup> Pierre Martinez,<sup>1</sup> Marc J Williams,<sup>15,6</sup> James O Lindsay,<sup>7</sup> Roger Feakins,<sup>8</sup> Roser W Stephen J Hayes, <sup>10</sup> Ian P M Tomlinson, <sup>911</sup> Stuart A C McDonald,<sup>1</sup> Morgan Moorg Andrew Silver, <sup>7</sup> James E East, <sup>12</sup> Nicholas A Wright, <sup>1</sup> Lai Mun Wang, <sup>13</sup> Manuel Rodriguez-Justo, <sup>14</sup> Marnix Jansen, <sup>14</sup> Ailsa L Hart,<sup>2</sup> Simon J Leedham,<sup>3,12</sup>

What is already known on this subject?

 IBD confers an increased lifetime risk of developing colorectal cancer (CRC). Colitis-associated CRC (CA-CRC) is mole distinct from sporadic CRC, for example, then

od from 12 patients a higher frequency of TP53 mutation while A ind key variants were and KR4S mutations occur at lower frequency ods. Genome-wide

Endoscopic surveillance for early detection of ormed using single CA-CRC is fraught with challenges, and the raand low-pass whole of interval cancers remains very high n-dysplastic much ); n=30), high-grade GD/HGD (n=7) and

What are the new findings?

We provide the first quantification of the intratumour genetic heterogeneity in CA-CRC and trace the spatiotemporal evolution of cancer from preneoplastic lesions and non-dysplastic mucosa, using multiregion exome sequencing of fresh-frozen samples.

Evolutionary divergence of sporadic and coliassociated cancers begins in the non-dysplast colitic mucosa, well before the emergence of an

Rapid 'punctuated' evolution of copy number alterations commonly demarcates the transition neighbouring CA onal field. CA-CRCs ear tetraploid (20% between low-grade and high-grade dysplasia that copy number n non-dysplastic often involved a

### Pilot project

Kit and Trevor's most recent work is a case-control study of progression of IBD to high grade dysplasia or cancer.

Dysplasia is the starting point because we expect the molecular signature to be strongest. These are also the patients who face the most difficult clinical decisions.<sup>104</sup>

20-30% of IBD patients with low grade dysplasia will progress... But we don't know which ones 103-106



They began with a discovery cohort of 67 patients at St. Mark's Hospital in London, one of the world's leading IBD specialist hospitals, to develop an algorithm. They subsequently tested the algorithm in an independent validation cohort of 51 patients from other UK hospitals.

#### Discovery Cohort

22 progressors 45 non-progressors



#### Validation Cohort

17 progressors 34 non-progressors





Progressor samples were IND or LGD biopsies from 1-5 years prior to subsequent detection of HGD or cancer. The median antecedent biopsy was taken 427 days prior to progression.

Non-progressor samples were IND or LGD biopsies from at least five years ago without subsequent HGD or cancer detected during follow up.

### **Strong results**

Kit and Trevor's test was as accurate as a mammogram and superior to existing stool- and blood-based colon cancer tests.

It predicted 82% of all future cancers and was correct 89% of the time when predicting progression.

Patients designated **high risk** had a **93% chance of progressing** in the next four years.

Patients designated **low risk** had a **96% chance of not progressing** in the next four years.

	Detection Rate	False Positive
<b>IBD Cancer Test</b> Progression from Dysplasia	<b>82%</b>	11%
<b>Mammogram</b> <sup>107</sup> Breast Cancer	87%	11%
<b>Cologuard</b> <sup>108</sup> Advanced Pre-Cancer	<b>57%</b>	<b>10%</b>
<b>Grail Galleri</b> <sup>109</sup> Stage I Colon Cancer	<b>43%</b>	<1%



UC San Francisco



### What comes next?

We're working with Kit and Trevor to put together a multiinstitution U.S. validation study with 400 patients by providing funding and helping to bring in collaborators.

The initial results have been impressive, but they are from a small cohort in a single region. Maybe the algorithm just got lucky. Or the UK patients weren't a representative sample.



To change clinical practice, we need more evidence from a larger, more diverse study.

It will also serve as a basis for the development of a test that can used in non-dysplastic colon samples.

There's also reason to believe this work will help with the early detection of other cancers. Want more detail on the molecular changes we're analyzing?

> Read On! (But it's not for everyone)

### APPENDIX

### **DNA 101**

The human body is made up of trillions of cells.

The basic biology of each person's cells is defined by their DNA.

DNA is assembled at conception, half from mom and half from dad.

**Every human cell** in a person's body is a clone of their original fertilized egg; and every cell nucleus contains a full copy of the entire DNA code.

#### The DNA of a single human being is 3 billions letters long.

These letters are called **bases**.

If you typed out your DNA, single-spaced, on 8  $\frac{1}{2}$  x 11 paper, you would need a tractor trailer to carry the tens of thousands of pages it would fill.

rcaggus ggatgctca aggcagata tggattacaa ttactggagta cagagtttcgtg ggtttcttggtat

aatc

attgaatca atactgta

ttggtgatti

attaaaattt

agagtaaga ttttctttca ttttgg

ctggaaa gtctgtc ccctgaa

tgagaa

ttacact

gtttcca

cagagg

tgagtgcl

atgagtat

acagcat

gtcatgaa

tgataaag

ggttagga

ccttttgct

atgattgti

aaatgca

taaatgtt

agaaata

ctaacagi agccttta

gggcgg

gctgaaa

ttataaa

aaaccta

tctg

ccgcaco ctaatctttatctt gtgcagcggtgatac tatgtattgtactgaacagt gagttetettggttttagetttat ccagagattagacggagtataggag tgctctatcgccaggctggagtgcagtg atctcggctcattgcaacctcggactcctatttt cagagatatttcacacattaaaatgtcgtcaaatatt ttctttgcctcagtgtttaaatacttaagagcccatgac gctttatttgacactcattctctcaactctcatctgattctta itttatccaagagaactactgccatgatgctttaaaag ttgcatattgacttctaacacttagaggtgg actgtaacaataagagtggagatagctgtcagcaactttt ggtgtgctacagggtgtagagcactgtgaagtctctacatg aagtcatgatatgatcctttgagagcctttagccgccgcag agcagtctggctatatagatagaacaacttgattttaagataa actgtctatgtagcatctatgcaggttcttagcgtcgatgga ttgtaaatgaagtacagttcattacgatacacgtctgcagtca gaattttcatgattgaattttgtaaggtatcattgaataatttgt aaggtgagctttgtattaaaaggtactggtggagtatttgata

If the DNA in a single human cell is laid out end-to-end, it is around 6 feet long

The double helix of DNA wraps around histones, which in turn coil into chromatin and chromosomes

Most of the time long strands of DNA fill the nucleus like a bowl of spaghetti

For cell division, DNA condenses into pairs of chromosomes, each carrying specific segments of DNA



### 23 and me

In humans, genes are carried on 23 chromosomes.

Genes are the templates for proteins, which are critical to how cells behave.

The length of an individual gene varies from a few hundred bases to more than 100,000 bases.

DNA Mutations alter the function of genes. Mutations can be as small as a single base change (e.g.  $A \rightarrow T$ ) on a single gene or as large as a scrambling of all 23 chromosomes.



### **Cell division**

### When a cell divides, its duplicated DNA lines up and splits evenly down the middle to ensure that each daughter receives a perfect set of chromosomes.

The drawings below – from 1887 – are among the earliest representations we have of cell division. They show the spaghetti-like nuclear DNA forming into chromosomes and being pulled into alignment by opposing microtubules to ensure separation.<sup>110</sup>



Chromosomes sometimes get stuck or broken during cell division, resulting in massive deviations from normal DNA quantities.

This type of mutation, called aneuploidy, is pervasive in cancer.



Aneuploid cells usually selfdestruct or are cleared by other cells. But sometimes aneuploidy confers a survival advantage, by giving a cell extra DNA that facilitates uncontrolled growth, deleting DNA that stops it, or a combination of the two.<sup>111</sup>

### Aneuploidy

Healthy Division																		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17 18	19_21 20_2	2
$\asymp$	$\sim$	×	$\approx$	2	$\simeq$	Nacion Della State	y	P	35	R	×	Ч	2		H	7=	====	-
$\succ$	$\sim$	×	$\approx$	2	$\geq$	Nack Br	y	P	35	R	×	2	2	-	Ħ	72	====	10°



### Aneuploidy in IBD

Aneuploidy occurs late in the process of developing typical colon cancer. Aneuploidy occurs early in IBD-related cancer, offering a clue for early detection.

In 1984, the year Amy was born, the first study of aneuploidy in IBD was published.<sup>112</sup>

Subsequent studies would confirm:

- Aneuploidy often precedes dysplasia in the colon
- Aneuploid dysplasia is more likely to progress to cancer
- Aneuploidy can often be detected more broadly in the colon than visible dysplasia<sup>21, 113</sup>



Aneuploidy detection never took off for IBD cancer surveillance.

The tools of the era could identify the existence of aneuploid cells (the second hump in the chart), but it couldn't make useful enough predictions about what they meant.

That would need to wait for a superior technology.

### **Molecular resolution**

Today's sequencing technology grabs fragments of DNA and parses them to find the specific areas where there are extra copies of DNA (gain) or missing copies of DNA (loss).

The length and location of **copy number** gains and losses are plotted from the start of the 1<sup>st</sup> chromosome (on the far left) to the end of the 23<sup>rd</sup> chromosome (on the far right):



In a real colon cancer, we see a much more fragmented genome than in the previous example:



Looking across many patients, we see patterns of gains and losses reflecting a process of natural selection among cells. Copy number changes occur **randomly** through errors in cell division. It is highly improbable any given cell division will result in changes that confer a major **competitive advantage**, but with **billions of cells** undergoing **trillions of divisions** opportunities arise. Advantaged cells take over their local environment and eventually spread. The patterns of gains and losses we see reflect the diverse genetic contexts in different parts of the body.<sup>102, 114</sup>



### **IBD** cancer is different

An alternative to looking at many individual lines of patient data is to collapse them into a chart. This allows us to look at the frequency of gains and losses across a group of patients to identify the most common gains and losses in that population of patients. Below, frequency plots of IBD-related cancer (in color) are compared with typical colon cancer (gray overlay) highlighting the different copy number changes that have been "selected" because of the competitive fitness advantage they provide the cancer.<sup>115</sup>



### **Consistent patterns**

The distinct aneuploidy of IBD cancer have been consistently identified in studies over the past decades. This is the "fingerprint" or molecular signature of IBD-related cancer that we are harnessing.<sup>48, 115-119</sup>



### From colitis to cancer

Kit and Trevor's group started by analyzing patient samples from normal, dysplastic, and cancerous tissue in IBD patients to identify patterns of cellular DNA changes (below). Further analysis of additional samples has allowed them to more precisely identify the pattern of DNA changes on the path to cancer.<sup>88, 102</sup>



## REFERENCES

1. GBD 2017 Inflammatory Bowel Disease Collaborators. The global, regional, and national burden of inflammatory bowel disease in 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: A systematic analysis. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020 Jan;5(1):17-30.

2. Feuerstein JD et al. Crohn Disease: epidemiology, diagnosis, and management. Mayo Clin Proc. 2017 Jul;92(7):1088-1103.

3. Feuerstein JD et al. Ulcerative Colitis. Mayo Clin Proc. 2019 Jul;94(7):1357-1373.

4. Gehart H et al. Tales from the crypt: New insights into intestinal stem cells. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2019 Jan;16(1):19-34.

5. Nicholson AM et al. Fixation and Spread of Somatic Mutations in Adult Human Colonic Epithelium. Cell Stem Cell. 2018 Jun 1;22(6):909-918.e8.

6. Cheng H et al. Crypt production in normal and diseased human colonic epithelium. Anat Rec. 1986 Sep;216(1):44-8.

7. Risques RA et al. Ulcerative colitis is a disease of accelerated colon aging: Evidence from telomere attrition and DNA damage. Gastroenterology. 2008 Aug;135(2):410-8.

8. Yvellez OV et al. Cumulative histologic inflammation predicts colorectal neoplasia in ulcerative colitis: A validation study. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2021 Jan 19;27(2):203-206. 9. Choi CR et al. Cumulative burden of inflammation predicts colorectal neoplasia risk in ulcerative colitis: A large single-centre study. Gut. 2019 Mar;68(3):414-422.

10. Flores BM et al. Impact of mucosal inflammation on risk of colorectal neoplasia in patients with ulcerative colitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis.Gastrointest Endosc. 2017 Dec;86(6):1006-1011.e8.

11. Olafsson S et al. Somatic evolution in non-neoplastic IBD-affected colon. Cell. 2020 Aug 6;182(3):672-684.e11.

12. Kakiuchi N et al. Frequent mutations that converge on the NFKBIZ pathway in ulcerative colitis. Nature.2020 Jan;577(7789):260-265.

13. Bernstein CN et al. Cancer risk in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a population-based study. Cancer. 2001 Feb 15;91(4):854-62.

14. Jess T et al. Risk of colorectal cancer in patients with ulcerative colitis: A meta-analysis of population-based cohort studies. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2012 Jun;10(6):639-45.

15. Ekbom A et al. Ulcerative colitis and colorectal cancer. A population-based study. N Engl J Med. 1990 Nov 1;323(18):1228-33.

16. Ekbom A et al. Increased risk of large-bowel cancer in Crohn's disease with colonic involvement. Lancet. 1990 Aug 11;336(8711):357-9. 17. Keller DS et al. Colorectal cancer in inflammatory bowel disease: Review of the evidence. Tech Coloproctol. 2019 Jan;23(1):3-13.

18. Munkholm P. The Incidence and prevalence of colorectal cancer in inflammatory bowel disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2003 Sep;18 Suppl 2:1-5.

19. Bopanna S et al. Risk of colorectal cancer in Asian patients with ulcerative colitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2017 Apr;2(4):269-276.

20. Eaden JA at al. The risk of colorectal cancer in ulcerative colitis: A meta-analysis. Gut. 2001 Apr;48(4):526-35.

21. Wijnands AM et al. Prognostic factors for advanced colorectal neoplasia in inflammatory bowel disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastroenterology. 2021 Apr;160(5):1584-1598.

22. Crohn BB and Rosenberg H. The sigmoidoscopic picture of chronic ulcerative colitis (non-specific). Am J Med Sci. 1925, 170: 220-228.

23. Bargen JA. Chronic ulcerative colitis associated with malignant disease. Journal of American Medical Association. 1928 Oct 1;17(4):561-76.

24. Goldgraber et al. Carcinoma of the colon in ulcerative colitis. Cancer. 1964 May;17:657-65.

25. American Cancer Society. Survival rates for colorectal cancer [Internet]. Atlanta (GA): American Cancer Society; 2022. Available from: www.cancer.org/cancer/ colon-rectalcancer/detection-diagnosis-staging/survival-rates.html.

26. Shah SC et al. Colorectal cancer in inflammatory bowel disease: Mechanisms and management. Gastroenterology. 2022 Mar;162(3):715-730.e3.

27. Ullman T et al. Diagnosis and management of dysplasia in patients with ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease of the colon. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2009 Apr;15(4):630-8.

28. Olén O et al. Colorectal cancer in ulcerative colitis: aScandinavian population-based cohort study. Lancet.2020 Jan 11;395(10218):123-131.

29. Hata K et al. Surveillance colonoscopy for ulcerative colitis-associated colorectal cancer offers better overall survival in real-world surgically resected cases. Am J Gastroenterol. 2019 Mar;114(3):483-489.

30. Bye WA et al. Strategies for Detecting Colorectal Cancer in Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Cochrane Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Am J Gastroenterol. 2018 Dec;113(12):1801-1809.

31. Gulati S et al. Outcomes of endoscopic resections of large laterally spreading colorectal lesions in inflammatory bowel disease: A single United Kingdom center experience. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2018 May 18;24(6):1196-1203. 32. Choi CH et al. Forty-year analysis of colonoscopic surveillance program for neoplasia in ulcerative colitis: An updated pverview. Am J Gastroenterol. 2015 Jul;110(7):1022-34.

33. Lutgens MW et al. Colonoscopic surveillance improves survival after colorectal cancer diagnosis in inflammatory bowel disease. Br J Cancer. 2009 Nov 17;101(10):1671-5.

34. Rutter MD. Mistakes in colonoscopic surveillance in IBD and how to avoid them. UEG Education. 2021; 21: 26–28.

35. Burke KE et al. Interval colorectal cancer in inflammatory bowel disease: The role of guideline adherence. Dig Dis Sci. 2020 Jan;65(1):111-118.

36. Stjärngrim J et al. Rates and characteristics of postcolonoscopy colorectal cancer in the Swedish IBD population: what are the differences from a non-IBD population? Gut. 2019 Sep;68(9):1588-1596.

37. Wintjens DSJ et al. Incidence and classification of postcolonoscopy colorectal cancers in inflammatory bowel disease: A Dutch population-based cohort study. J Crohns Colitis. 2018 Jun 28;12(7):777-783.

38. Conner JR and Riddell RH. Getting a low grade for missing high-grade dysplasia and colorectal cancer in IBD. Dig Dis Sci. 2017 Dec;62(12):3594-3595.

39. Rex DK et al. Colorectal cancer screening:Recommendations for physicians and patients from theU.S. Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer.Gastroenterology. 2017 Jul;153(1):307-323.

40. Mooiweer Eet al. Incidence of interval colorectal cancer among inflammatory bowel disease patients undergoing regular colonoscopic surveillance. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2015 Sep;13(9):1656-61.

41. Sanduleanu et al. Interval colorectal cancers in inflammatory bowel disease: The grim statistics and true stories. Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am. 2014 Jul;24(3):337-48.

42. Yaeger R et al. Systemic chemotherapy for metastatic colitis-associated cancer has a worse outcome than sporadic colorectal cancer. Clin Colorectal Cancer. 2020 Dec;19(4):e151-e156.

43. Yaeger R et al. Genomic alterations observed in colitis-associated cancers are distinct from those found in sporadic colorectal cancers and vary by type of inflammatory bowel disease. Gastroenterology. 2016 Aug;151(2):278-287.e6.

44. Beaugerie L et al. Cancers complicating inflammatory bowel disease. N Engl J Med. 2015 Apr 9;372(15):1441-52.

45. van Schaik FD et al. Endoscopic and pathological aspects of colitis-associated dysplasia. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2009 Nov;6(11):671-8.

46. Shih IM et al. Top-down morphogenesis of colorectal tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001 Feb 27;98(5):2640-5.

47. Lai LA et al. Pan-colonic field defects are detected by CGH in the colons of UC patients with dysplasia/ cancer. Cancer Lett. 2012 Jul 28;320(2):180-8.

48. Wanders LK et al. IBD-Associated Dysplastic Lesions Show More Chromosomal Instability Than Sporadic Adenomas. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2020 Jan 6;26(2):167-180.

49. Connelly TM and Koltun WA. The surgical treatment of inflammatory bowel disease-associated dysplasia. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2013 May;7(4):307-21.

50. Soetikno R et al. An atlas of the nonpolypoid colorectal neoplasms in inflammatory bowel disease. Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am. 2014 Jul;24(3):483-520.

51. Navaneethan U et al. Progression of low-grade dysplasia to advanced neoplasia based on the location and morphology of dysplasia in ulcerative colitis patients with extensive colitis under colonoscopic surveillance. J Crohns Colitis. 2013 Dec;7(12):e684-91.

52. Goldstone R et al. Progression of low-grade dysplasia in ulcerative colitis: effect of colonic location. Gastrointest Endosc. 2011 Nov;74(5):1087-93. 53. Itzkowitz SH et al. Diagnosis and management of dysplasia in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases. Gastroenterology. 2004 May;126(6):1634-48.

54. Schlemper RJ, et al. The Vienna classification of gastrointestinal epithelial neoplasia. Gut. 2000;47:251–5.

55. Riddell RH et al. Dysplasia in inflammatory bowel disease: standardized classification with provisional clinical applications. Hum Pathol. 1983 Nov;14(11):931-68.

56. Langner C, et al. The histopathological approach to inflammatory bowel disease: A practice guide. Virchows Arch 2014;464:511–27.

57. Sleisenger, MH et al. Sleisenger and Fordtran's gastrointestinal and liver disease: pathophysiology, diagnosis, management. 9. Philadelphia, PA: Saunders/Elsevier; 2010.

58. Rubin DT et al. Surveillance of dysplasia in inflammatory bowel disease: The gastroenterologistpathologist partnership. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2006 Nov;4(11):1309-13.

59. Eaden J et al. Inter-observer variation between general and specialist gastrointestinal pathologists when grading dysplasia in ulcerative colitis. J Pathol. 2001 Jun;194(2):152-7.

60. DeRoche TC et al. Histological evaluation in ulcerative colitis. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf). 2014 Aug;2(3):178-92.

61. Alpert L. Interobserver agreement and the impact of mentorship on the diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease-associated dysplasia among subspecialist gastrointestinal pathologists. Virchows Arch. 2021 Jun;478(6):1061-1069.

62. van Schaik FD et al. Misclassification of dysplasia in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: Consequences for progression rates to advanced neoplasia. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2011 May;17(5):1108-16.

63. Lai KK et al. Risk for colorectal neoplasia in patients with IBD and mucosa indefinite for dysplasia. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2015 Feb;21(2):378-84.

64. Mahmoud R et al. Association between indefinite dysplasia and advanced neoplasia in patients with IBD undergoing surveillance. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020 Jun;18(7):1518-1527.e3.

65. Gui X et al. Histological and molecular diversity and heterogeneity of precancerous lesions associated with inflammatory bowel diseases. J Clin Pathol. 2020 Jul;73(7):391-402.

66. Odze RD and Maley CC. Neoplasia without dysplasia: lessons from Barrett esophagus and other tubal gut neoplasms. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2010 Jun;134(6):896-906.

67. Lee H et al. Non-conventional dysplasia in inflammatory bowel disease is more frequently associated with advanced neoplasia and aneuploidy than conventional dysplasia. Histopathology. 2021 May;78(6):814-830. 68. Bahceci D et al. Clinicopathologic features of undetected dysplasia found in total colectomy or proctocolectomy specimens of patients with IBD. Histopathology. 2022 Apr 29.

69. Choi WT et al. Nonconventional dysplasia in patients with inflammatory bowel disease and colorectal carcinoma: a multicenter clinicopathologic study. Mod Pathol. 2020 May;33(5):933-943.

70. Murthy SK et al. Clinical practice update on endoscopic surveillance and management of colorectal dysplasia in inflammatory bowel diseases: Expert review. Gastroenterology. 2021 Sep;161(3):1043-1051.e4.

71. Núñez FP et al. Evolving role of endoscopy in inflammatory bowel disease: Going beyond diagnosis.World J Gastroenterol. 2021 May 28;27(20):2521-2530.

72. Axelrad JE and Shah SC. Diagnosis and management of inflammatory bowel disease-associated neoplasia: considerations in the modern era. Therap Adv Gastroenterol. 2020 May 6;13:1756284820920779.

73. Rubin DT et al. ACG clinical guideline: Ulcerative colitis in adults. Am J Gastroenterol. 2019;114(3):384-413.

74. Lichtenstein GR et al. ACG Clinical Guideline: Management of Crohn's Disease in Adults. American Journal of Gastroenterology, 2018;113(4):481-517. 75. Magro F et al. European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation: Third European Evidence-based Consensus on Diagnosis and Management of Ulcerative Colitis. Part 1. J Crohns Colitis. 2017 Jun 1;11(6):649-670.

76. Stidham RW and Higgins PDR. Colorectal cancer in inflammatory bowel disease. Clin Colon Rectal Surg. 2018 May;31(3):168-178.

77. Laine at al. SCENIC international consensus statement on surveillance and management of dysplasia in inflammatory bowel disease. Gastroenterology. 2015 Mar;148(3):639-651.

78. Kabir M et al. Management of inflammatory bowel disease associated colonic dysplasia: factors predictive of patient choice and satisfaction. Colorectal Dis. 2021 Apr;23(4):882-893.

79. Lopez A et al. Patients' knowledge and fear of colorectal cancer risk in inflammatory bowel disease. J Dig Dis. 2016 Jun;17(6):383-91.

80. Baars JE et al. Inflammatory bowel disease-patients are insufficiently educated about basic characteristics of their disease and the associated risk of colorectal cancer. Dig Liver Dis. 2010 Nov;42(11):777-84.

81. Siegel CA et al. When should ulcerative colitis patients undergo colectomy for dysplasia? Mismatch between patient preferences and physician recommendations. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2010 Oct;16(10):1658-62. 82. Shah SC and Itzkowitz SH. Reappraising risk factors for inflammatory bowel disease-associated neoplasia: Implications for colonoscopic surveillance in IBD. J Crohns Colitis. 2020 Sep 7;14(8):1172-1177.

83. Ten Hove JR et al. Consecutive negative findings on colonoscopy during surveillance predict a low risk of advanced neoplasia in patients with inflammatory bowel disease with long-standing colitis. Gut. 2019 Apr;68(4):615-622.

84. Long MD et al. When do you start and when do you stop screening for colon cancer in inflammatory bowel disease? Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018 May;16(5):621-623.

85. Esserman LJ et al. Addressing overdiagnosis and overtreatment in cancer: a prescription for change. Lancet Oncol. 2014 May;15(6):e234-42.

86. Smolander J, et al. Evaluation of tools for identifying large copy number variations from ultra-low-coverage whole-genome sequencing data. BMC Genomics. 2021 May 17;22(1):357.

87. Baker KT et al. Precancer in ulcerative colitis: the role of the field effect and its clinical implications. Carcinogenesis. 2018 Jan 12;39(1):11-20.

88. Baker AM et al. Evolutionary history of human colitis-associated colorectal cancer. Gut. 2019 Jun;68(6):985-995.

89. Curtius K et al. An evolutionary perspective on field cancerization. Nat Rev Cancer. 2018 Jan;18(1):19-32.

90. Curtius K et al. Evolution of premalignant disease. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2017 Dec 1;7(12):a026542.

91. Choi CR et al. Clonal evolution of colorectal cancer in IBD. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2017 Apr;14(4):218-229.

92. Galandiuk S et al. Field cancerization in the intestinal epithelium of patients with Crohn's ileocolitis. Gastroenterology. 2012 Apr;142(4):855-864.e8.

93. Leedham SJ et al. Clonality, founder mutations, and field cancerization in human ulcerative colitis-associated neoplasia. Gastroenterology. 2009 Feb;136(2):542-50.e6.

94. Rabinovitch, PS et al. 1999. Pancolonic chromosomal instability precedes dysplasia and cancer in ulcerative colitis. Cancer Res. 59, 5148–5153.

95. Levine, DS et al. 1991. Distribution of aneuploid cell populations in ulcerative colitis with dysplasia or cancer. Gastroenterology 101(5): 1198-1210.

96. Burmer GC et al. 1992. Neoplastic progression in ulcerative colitis: histology, DNA content, and loss of a p53 allele. Gastroenterology. 1992 Nov;103(5):1602-10.

97. Lindberg JO et al. DNA aneuploidy as a marker of premalignancy in surveillance of patients with ulcerative colitis. Br J Surg. 1999 Jul;86(7):947-50.

98. Lutgens MW et al. High frequency of early colorectal cancer in inflammatory bowel disease. Gut. 2008 Sep;57(9):1246-51.

99. Curtius K et al. Multicentre derivation and validation of a colitis-associated colorectal cancer risk prediction web tool. Gut. 2022 Apr;71(4):705-715.

100. Yalchin M et al. Predicting colorectal cancer occurrence in IBD. Cancers (Basel). 2021 Jun 10;13(12):2908.

101. Curtius K et al. Optimal timing for cancer screening and adaptive surveillance using mathematical modeling. Cancer Res. 2021 Feb 15;81(4):1123-1134.

102. Al Bakir I et al. From colitis to cancer: An evolutionary trajectory that merges maths and biology. Front Immunol. 2018 Oct 16;9:2368.

103. Choi CH et al. Low-grade dysplasia in ulcerative colitis: risk factors for developing high-grade dysplasia or colorectal cancer. Am J Gastroenterol. 2015 Oct;110(10):1461-71.

104. Wijnands AM et al. Surveillance and management of colorectal dysplasia and cancer in inflammatory bowel disease: Current practice and future perspectives. Eur J Intern Med. 2021 Nov;93:35-41.

105. De Jong ME et al. Long-term risk of advanced neoplasia after colonic low-grade dysplasia in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: A nationwide cohort study. J Crohns Colitis. 2019 Dec 10;13(12):1485-1491. 106. Zisman TL et al. Prospective study of the progression of low-grade dysplasia in ulcerative colitis using current cancer surveillance guidelines. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2012 Dec;18(12):2240-6.

107. Lehman CD et al. National performance benchmarks for modern screening digital mammography: Update from the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. Radiology. 2017 Apr;283(1):49-58.

108. Kisiel JB et al. Can second-generation multitarget stool DNA panels reliably detect colorectal cancer and advanced precancerous lesions? Journal of Clinical Oncology 40, no. 4\_suppl (February 01, 2022) 63-63.

109. Klein EA, et al. Clinical validation of a targeted methylation-based multi-cancer early detection test using an independent validation set. Ann Oncol. 2021 Sep;32(9):1167-1177.

110. Boveri T. Zellen-Studien. 1887. Available from: The Digital Public Library of America, www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/29963.

111. Sansregret L et al. Determinants and clinical implications of chromosomal instability in cancer. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2018 Mar;15(3):139-150.

112. Hammarberg C et al. Early detection of malignancy in ulcerative colitis. A flow-cytometric DNA study. Cancer. 1984 Jan 15;53(2):291-5 113. Meyer R et al. Combining aneuploidy and dysplasia for colitis' cancer risk assessment outperforms current surveillance efficiency: a meta-analysis. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2017 Feb;32(2):171-182.

114. Cerami E et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. MSKCC cBioPortal data sets.

115. Chatila W et al. Genomic alterations in colitisassociated cancers in comparison to those found in sporadic colorectal cancer and present in precancerous dysplasia. J Clin Oncol. 2020 Feb;38(No. 4 Suppl):191.

116. Aust, DE et al. 2000. Chromosomal alterations in ulcerative colitis related and sporadic colorectal cancers by CGH. Hum. Pathol. 31, 109–114.

117. Hirsch D et al. 2021. Molecular characterization of ulcerative colitis-associated colorectal carcinomas. Mod Pathol. 2021 Jun;34(6):1153-1166.

118. Rajamäki K et al. Genetic and epigenetic characteristics of inflammatory bowel disease-associated colorectal cancer. Gastroenterology. 2021 Aug;161(2):592-607.

119. Hirsch D et al. Dynamics of genome alterations in Crohn's disease-associated colorectal carcinogenesis. Clin Cancer Res. 2018 Oct 15;24(20):4997-5011. (image not shown on slide 39)